EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2008-0416-PST-E TCEQ ID: RN101875177 CASE NO.: 35513

RESPONDENT NAME: B.K. TRADING, INC.

ORDER TYPE:						
X_1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING				
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDER	IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER				
AMENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER						
CASE TYPE:						
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	INDUSTRIAL HAZARDOUS WASTE				
PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	X_PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION				
WATER QUALITY	SEWAGE SLUDGE	UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL				
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	RADIOACTIVE WASTE	DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION				
SMALL BUSINESS: N/A OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: The this facility location. INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other the comments received: The Texas Region Contacts and Mailing List: TCEQ Attorney: Ms. Tracy Chamman Ms. Lena Robert TCEQ Enforcement Coordinators TCEQ Regional Contact: Mr. Micropolical Respondent: Mr. Bilal Masooda K	ree inactive underground storage tanks ("USTs" re are no complaints. There is no record of add than the ED and the Respondent expressed an invisiter comment period expired May 18, 2009. It is, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-001; Mr. John Shelton, Waste Enforcement Section chael Brashear, Tyler Regional Office, MC R-5 thwaja, President, B.K. Trading, Inc., P.O. Box esented by counsel on this enforcement matter.	itional pending enforcement actions regarding atterest in this matter. No comments were received. 1629 19 19 10, MC 128, (512) 239-2563 15, (903) 535-5176				

RESPONDENT NAME: B.K. TRADING, INC. DOCKET NO.: 2008-0416-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:		
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
Type of Investigation:	Total Assessed: \$3,675	Ordering Provisions:
Complaint Routine Enforcement Follow-up X Records Review	Total Deferred: \$0 Expedited Order Financial Inability to Pay SEP Conditional Offset	The Respondent shall undertake the following technical requirements: 1. Within 30 days, permanently remove the UST system from service.
Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None	Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: \$175/\$3,500	2. Within 45 days, submit an amended registration to reflect the current ownership
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: February 11, 2008	The Respondent paid \$175 of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of \$3,500 shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of \$100 each.	and operational status of the UST system. 3. Within 60 days, submit written certification
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: February 19, 2008	Site Compliance History Classification: HighX Average Poor	demonstrating compliance.
Background Facts: The EDPRP was filed on August 14, 2008. The Respondent filed an answer and the case was referred to SOAH. Settlement was achieved and the agreed order was signed on October 16, 2009.	Person Compliance History Classification: High X Average Poor	
Current Compliance Status:	Major Source: Yes X No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002	
The tanks have been removed and the initial inspection indicated a suspected release. A release investigation is pending to determine whether remediation of the surrounding soil will be necessary. Respondent has not yet submitted documentation to certify compliance with the technical requirements.	Applicable 1 enaity 1 oney. September 2002	
The Respondent does not have a delivery certificate.		
PST:		
1. Failed to notify the agency of any change or additional information regarding the USTs within 30 days of the occurrence of the change or addition [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(3)].		
2. Failed to permanently remove from service no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, a UST system for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.47(a)(2)].		

	Penalty Calculation	on Worksheet (P	CW)	
Policy Revision 2 (Septen			PCW Revision Fe	ebruary 29, 2008
rceo				
DATES Assigned	25-Feb-2008			
PCW	12-Jan-2009 Screening 7-Mar-2008	EPA Due	AND THE RESERVE TO THE P. L. P.	
	IFODMATION.			. ,
RESPONDENT/FACILITY IN	.K. Trading, Inc.			\neg
Reg. Ent. Ref. No. R			······································	-
Facility/Site Region 5		Major/Minor Source	Minor	
not dispuse ment reprinted the same of				
CASE INFORMATION	그는 아들은 이번 말을 하는 한말로 하는데 되었다.			
Enf./Case ID No. 3	5513	No. of Violations		
Docket No. 2	008-0416-PST-E	Order Type		_
	etroleum Storage Tank	Enf. Coordinator	Enforcement Team 7	
Multi-Media	mit Minimum \$0 Maximum	\$10,000	Linorcement ream r	
Admin. Penalty \$ Li	nit wiinimum 50 jwaxiiium	\$10,000		
	Donalty Calcul	lation Section		
	Penalty Calcul	The second secon		
TOTAL BASE PENALT	Y (Sum of violation base penalt	ies)	Subtotal 1	\$3,500
	The second secon	ing. Na matatagyan denganak ing panggalan yang	garanti di salah	
ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) T	O SUBTOTAL 1	by the indicated parameters		
Subtotals 2-7 are obtaine	d by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) y	% Enhancement Subt	otals 2, 3, & 7	\$175
Compliance histor	yr akiskyr siyy girir ya roka yatan ya taraki a ya taraki a ya garaki a ya ya taraki a ya ya taraki a ya ya ta	76 Emilancement]	
Notes	The Respondent received one NOV with	h same or similar violations.		
			_	
	The transfer of the contract o	% Enhancement	Subtotal 4	\$0
Culpability	_No 0	6 Ennancement]	
Notes	The Respondent does not meet t	the culpability criteria.		
110100			_	
		% Reduction	Subtotal 5	\$0
Good Faith Effort (Before NOV NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offe	The state of the s		
Extraordinary	Beiole 1404 140 122			
Ordinary				
N/A	X (mark with x)		7	
139à F	The Respondent does not meet t	the good faith criteria		
Notes	The Respondent does not meet t	the good faith official.		
		Table Committee of the	-	\$0
	그는 하는 것 같은 사람들은 그 모 <mark>음에 보고 있는 그 그는 그 그를</mark> 위해 보고 있는 것 같아.	% Enhancement* ped at the Total EB \$ Amount	Subtotal 6	φ0
	Total EB Amounts \$5,339 *Cappost of Compliance \$22,600	ged at the Total EB & Amount		
. /			and the second second	
SUM OF SUBTOTALS	1-7	나 한 12호를 조존하면 그리는 다	Final Subtotal	\$3,675
THE STATE OF THE STATE OF				
OTHER FACTORS AS	JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE	0%	Adjustment	\$0
Reduces or enhances the Final Sul	ototal by the indicated percentage.		٦	
Notes	and the second of the second of			
L		Final Pa	analty Amount	\$3,675
		rmarre	marty Amount	40,0.0
OTATUTODY LIBRIT AT	NUICTRENT	Final Acc	essed Penalty	\$3,675
STATUTORY LIMIT A	MOST INIEM (18) TARK STANDARD	Fillal ASS	cooper remarky	+0,0,0
DEFEDRAL	ger i kojeka se gera da ina elektrolo	Reduction	Adjustment	
DEFERRAL	Ity by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only;	1	Aujustineitt	
Reduces the Final Assessed Fena	ty by the mainted percentage. (Enter trained only)		7	
Notes	Deferral not offered for non-ex	rpedited settlement.		
140103		•		
			-	
PAYABLE PENALTY	医复杂醛 医皮肤性引起性性 的第三人称			\$3,675
	Company of the compan	CONTRACTOR	ACCURACIONAL PROGRAMMENT OF THE	

Screening Date 7-Mar-2008

Docket No. 2008-0416-PST-E

Respondent B.K. Trading, Inc.

Case ID No. 35513

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision February 29, 2008

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101875177

Component Number of...

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator John Shelton

Compliance History Worksheet >> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	1	5%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	0 .	0%
and Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (number of counts)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%
	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were submitted)	0	0%
Audits	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)	0	0%
	Please	e Enter Yes or No	
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
Other	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
5	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%
peat Violator (Sı	Adjustment Pe		_
No			
mpliance Histor	y Person Classification (Subtotal 7)		
Average P			ubtotal 7) [
mpliance Histor	y Summary		to to joganici •
Compliance History Notes	The Respondent received one NOV with same or similar violations.		
.,,,,,,			

Screening Date	7-Mar-2008	Docket No. 2	008-0416-PST-E	PCW
Respondent	B.K. Trading, Inc.		Policy Re	vision 2 (September 2002)
Case ID No.			PCW F	Revision February 29, 2008
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101875177			
Media [Statute]	Petroleum Storage Tank	•		
Enf. Coordinator	John Shelton			i
Violation Number	1			
Rule Cite(s)	30	Tex. Admin. Code § 334.7	′(d)(3)	<u> </u>
Violation Description	within 30 days of the occurre	of any change or additional ence of the change or additi o reflect the current ownerst	information regarding the USTs on. Specifically, the registration nip of the UST system.	
L		,	Base Penalty	\$10,000
>> Environmental, Property a Release OR Actual Potential >>Programmatic Matrix Falsification	Harm Major Moderate	Minor F	Percent 0%	
Matrix Notes	100% of ti	ne rule requirement not met		
		Ad	justment \$9,000	!
	1-2-1		İ	\$1,000
Violation Events				
Number of Vio	olation Events 1	25	Number of violation days	-
mark only one with an x	daily		Violation Base Penalty	\$1,000
	One sing	gle event is recommended.		
Economic Benefit (EB) for th	is violation	Table Tangaline - Little Amerika Stad Lance - Sea Middle Arthres and Sea The Production of the First Sea	Statutory Limit Test	
Estimate	d EB Amount	\$4	Violation Final Penalty Total	\$1,050
	T	his violation Final Assess	ed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	\$1,050

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	R K Trading Ir	Teftues = colorum 10	and the second of the second	180		The state of the s	
		10.					
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.						}	Years of
atticle in the control of the contro	Petroleum Stor	age Tank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	1	and we have a second of the	erani er				· ·
빨리 함께 다음 시민들이 보다 하는데						5.0	15,
잃어 있는 아이를 먹으니 다.	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs			ACM STAR		gen en gelig stante in. Megleg igt in die en de		
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	1			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	≕ n/a -	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$100	11-Feb-2008	6-Dec-2008	0.8	\$4	n/a	\$4
Notes for DELAYED costs		the investiga	tion and the Final I	Date is	the estimated date		
Avoided Costs	ANN	JALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before e	ntering		one-time avoided	costs)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 80
Other (as needed)			<u> </u>	0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$100			TOTAL		\$4

•

	Mar-2008 Docket No. 2008-0416-PST-E	ng Date 7-Mar-2008	Screening Date	
vision 2 (September		ondent B.K. Trading, Inc.		
evision February 29,		e ID No. 35513		
			g. Ent. Reference No.	Reg.
		Statute] Petroleum Storage Tan		
	nin Shelion	rdinator John Shelton	Violation Number	
			Rule Cite(s)	
	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.47(a)(2)		•	
	Failed to permanently remove from service no later than 60 days after the prescribed pgrade implementation date, a UST system for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements.	scription upgrade implementation	Violation Description	
\$10	Base Penalty			
	l Human Health Matrix Harm	operty and Human Health I Harm	onmental, Property a	>> Enviro
	Major Moderate Minor		ls .	00
	X Percent 25%	Actual x		OR
	^	7 Otential X	1 Otential	
		rix	ammatic Matrix	>>Progra
	Major Moderate Minor Percent 0%	Isification Major Modera	Falsification	
	Terecit		St. II	
	r the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that	man health or the environment will	Metrix Human healt	
	r the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that tective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$7,500	man health or the environment will are protective of human hea	Matrix Notes Human healt are p	
\$2	tective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	man health or the environment will are protective of human hea	(a)	
\$2	tective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	man health or the environment will are protective of human hea	Notes are p	
\$2	tective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.	man health or the environment will are protective of human hea	Notes are p	Violation
\$2	tective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$7,500	man health or the environment will are protective of human heal	Notes are p	Violation
\$2	tective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$7,500	are protective of human heal	Notes are p	Violation
\$2	tective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$7,500 tion Events 1 25- Number of violation days daily	are protective of human heal	Notes are p	Violation
	tective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. Adjustment \$7,500 tion Events 1 25 Number of violation days daily monthly x	are protective of human heal	Notes are part of Views	Violation
\$2	tion Events 1 25- Number of violation days daily monthly x quarterly Violation Base Penalty [are protective of human heal mber of Violation Events 1 daily anothly x quarterly quarterly	Notes are p	Violation
	tion Events 1 25- Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly emiannual annual annual annual 1 25- Violation Base Penalty [weet to see the violation of the violation of the violation days are sult of the violation. **Total Control of the violation of the violation days are sult of the violation. **Total Control of the violation days are sult of the violation. **Total Control of the viola	are protective of human heal mber of Violation Events 1 daily monthly x quarterly semiannual annual annual	Notes are part of View	Violation
	tion Events 1 25- Number of violation days daily monthly quarterly emiannual annual annual annual 1 25- Violation Base Penalty [weet to see the violation of the violation of the violation days are sult of the violation. **Total Control of the violation of the violation days are sult of the violation. **Total Control of the violation days are sult of the violation. **Total Control of the viola	are protective of human heal mber of Violation Events 1 daily monthly x quarterly semiannual	Notes are part of Views are pa	Violation
	tion Events 1 25 Number of violation days daily wonthly x quarterly emiannual annual ingle event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the February 11,	are protective of human heal mber of Violation Events 1 daily monthly x quarterly semiannual annual single event me monthly event is recommended	Notes are particular and particular are particular and particular are particular	Violation
	tion Events 1 25 Number of violation days daily wonthly quarterly lemiannual annual ingle event vent is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the February 11, 2008 investigation to the March 07, 2008 screening date.	are protective of human heal mber of Violation Events 1 daily	Notes are particular and particular are particular and particular are particular and particular are particular are particular and particular are particular	d Line - Tubes Antonio Come
\$;	tion Events 1 25 Number of violation days daily wonthly annual annual annual ingle event	are protective of human heal mber of Violation Events 1 daily	Notes are part of the second o	d Line - Tubes Antonio Come
	tion Events 1 25 Number of violation days daily wonthly annual annual annual ingle event	are protective of human heal mber of Violation Events 1 daily	Notes are part of the second o	d Line - Tubes Antonio Come

	E	conomic	Benefit W	orks	sheet		
Respondent	BK Trading In	i c .					
Case ID No.							
Reg. Ent. Reference No.							
	Petroleum Stor	ngo Tank				PRODUCTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	Years of
		age rank				Percent Interest	Depreciation
Violation No.	Z Tanang segen agan	skiestinungen Krisingerest	eleta eleta		100 m		•
						5.0	15;
[설문학자] 남성 : 김 나는 그렇게 되기	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Item Description	No commas or \$				maging the second		
Delayed Costs		we go to the		11.5	e de la composition della comp		<u> </u>
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	\$22,500	11-Mar-2004	6-Dec-2008	4.7	\$5,335	n/a	\$5,335
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)			<u> </u>	0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Notes for DELAYED costs	\$0.75 per gal	lon. The Date Re and	equired is the date the Final Date is t	when ti he estin	he Respondent wa nated date of comp		de the system
Avoided Costs	ANNU	JALIZE [1] avoid	ed costs before			one-time avoided	costs)
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 60
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
Other (as needed)			1	0.0	\$0	1 30	30
Notes for AVOIDED costs			٠.				
Approx. Cost of Compliance		\$22,500	0]		TOTAL		\$5,335

Compliance History Report

Rating: 9.50

Site Rating: 2.00

B. K. Trading, Inc. Classification: CN602494049 Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: AVERAGE Classification: AVERAGE RN101875177 JES FOOD Regulated Entity: ID Number(s): HWY 271, TALCO, TX, 75487 Location: REGION 05 - TYLER TCEQ Region: January 30, 2009 Date Compliance History Prepared: Enforcement Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: January 30, 2004 to January 30, 2009 Compliance Period: TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History 239 - 2563 Phone: John Shelton Name: Site Compliance History Components 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? Yes B.K. Trading, Inc. 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? BO III dba Jes Food 4. if Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? 3/11/2004 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? 6. Rating Date: 9/1/2008 Repeat Violator: NO Components (Multimedia) for the Site: Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government. A. N/A Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government. В. Chronic excessive emissions events. N/A The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) D. 08/03/2007 (569700)1 02/19/2008 (618025)Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.) E. CN602494049 Date: 08/03/2007 (569700) Classification: Moderate Self Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter A 334.7(d)(3) Failure to amend the site's PST Registration Information to reflect the current Description: property owner's contact information and status of the UST system. Classification: Moderate Self 30 TAC Chapter 334, SubChapter C 334.47(a)(2) Citation: Failure to perform the permanent removal of the UST system at Hwy 271, Talco, Description: Texas in accordance with 334.55 or provide documentation for the technical upgrade requirements in accordance with 334.47. Environmental audits. F. N/A Type of environmental management systems (EMSs). G. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates. Ή.

Sites Outside of Texas N/A

N/A Early compliance. N/A

I.

Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality



IN THE MATTER OF AN § BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION §
CONCERNING § TEXAS COMMISSION ON
B.K. TRADING, INC., §
RN101875177 § ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0416-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its ______ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding B.K. Trading, Inc., ("B.K. Trading") under the authority of Tex. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and B.K. Trading appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. B.K. Trading owns property that contained three inactive underground storage tanks ("USTs") at Highway 271, Talco, Titus County, Texas (the "Site").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to Tex. Water Code §§ 7.051 and 7.070. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to Tex. Water Code § 5.013 because it alleges violations of Tex. Water Code ch. 26 and TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and B.K. Trading agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that B.K. Trading is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. B.K. Trading received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about February 24, 2008.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by B.K. Trading of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of three thousand six hundred seventy-five dollars (\$3,675.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section

II ("Allegations"). B.K. Trading paid one hundred seventy-five dollars (\$175.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of three thousand five hundred dollars (\$3,500.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in thirty-five payments of one hundred dollars (\$100.00) each. The first monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment. If B.K. Trading fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, B.K. Trading's failure to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by B.K. Trading to timely and satisfactorily comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.

- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and B.K. Trading agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that B.K. Trading has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 10. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
- 11. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

- 1. During an investigation conducted on February 11, 2008, a TCEQ Tyler Regional Office investigator documented that B.K. Trading violated:
 - a. 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7(d)(3) by failing to notify the agency of any change or additional information regarding the USTs within 30 days of the occurrence of the

B.K. Trading, Inc. DOCKET NO. 2008-0416-PST-E Page 3

change or addition. Specifically, the registration was not updated to reflect the current ownership of the UST system and

b. 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.47(a)(2) by failing to permanently remove from service no later than 60 days after the prescribed upgrade implementation date, a UST system for which any applicable component of the system is not brought into timely compliance with the upgrade requirements.

III. DENIALS

B.K. Trading generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that B.K. Trading pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and B.K. Trading's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from considering or requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: B.K. Trading, Inc., 2008-0416-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. B.K. Trading shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, B.K. Trading shall permanently remove the UST system from service, in accordance with 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.55;
 - b. Within 45 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, B.K. Trading shall submit an amended registration to reflect the current ownership and operational status of the UST system, in accordance with 30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.7 to:

B.K. Trading, Inc. DOCKET NO. 2008-0416-PST-E Page 4

Registration and Reporting Section
Permitting & Remediation Support Division, MC 138
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

c. Within 60 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, B.K. Trading shall submit written certification and detailed supporting documentation, including photographs, receipts, and other records, to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. through 2.b. The certification shall be notarized by a State of Texas Notary Public and include the following certification language:

"I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted and all attached documents, and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

B.K. Trading shall submit the written certification and copies of documentation necessary to demonstrate compliance with Ordering Provision Nos. 2.a. through 2.b. to

Order Compliance Team
Enforcement Division, MC 149A
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

and

Mike Brashear, Waste Section Manager Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Tyler Regional Office 2916 Teague Drive Tyler, Texas 75701-3756 B.K. Trading, Inc. DOCKET NO. 2008-0416-PST-E Page 5

- 3. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon B.K. Trading. B.K. Trading is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Site operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
- 4. If B.K. Trading fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, B.K. Trading's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. B.K. Trading shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. B.K. Trading shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after B.K. Trading becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
- 5. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by B.K. Trading shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until B.K. Trading receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
- 6. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against B.K. Trading in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
- 7. This Agreed Order may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreed Order may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 8. Under 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Agreed Order to B.K. Trading, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of this Agreed Order to B.K. Trading, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

ATT: TRABY CHANDLER

B.K. Trading, Inc. DOCKET NO. 2008-0416-PST-E Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For th	ne Commission	
For th	Me Executive Director	$\frac{10/29/09}{\text{Date}}$
author signat	rized to agree to the attached Agreed O cure, and I do agree to the terms and con	nd the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I amorder on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my ditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the amount, is materially relying on such representation.
	A negative impact on B.K. Trading's Greater scrutiny of any permit applic Referral of this case to the Attornadditional penalties, and/or attorney Increased penalties in any future enformation and the Attorney General to t	s compliance history; cations submitted by B.K. Trading; ney General's office for contempt, injunctive relief,
	For the authorsignate ICEC	authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Osignature, and I do agree to the terms and con ICEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty also understand that my failure to comply way failure to timely pay the penalty amount, A negative impact on B.K. Trading's Greater scrutiny of any permit application of this case to the Attornadditional penalties, and/or attorney Increased penalties in any future enforcement.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Signature

Date

Prosi Do

TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized representative of

B.K. Trading, Inc.